Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	* * *	
	10/522,440	MILLER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	TEJAL J. GAMI	2121
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Tejal Gami (USPTO)</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>John C. Laurence (Reg. No. 63,383)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 November 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: previous rejection		
Claims discussed: 1 and 10		
Prior art documents discussed: prior art of record		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No. 10/522,440

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In an effort to advance prosecution, applicant and examiner agreed via an Examiner's Amendment to amending independent claims 1 and 10 to incorporate the limitations of dependent claims 7 and 16; and incorporating "arranging the electronic system to determine whether there is a fault with a fault-monitoring device by being arranged to create a record of a fault from the output of the final fault-monitoring device, the absence of a record being created when the electronic system induces a fault signal output signifying a fault in one of the fault-monitoring devices," limitations found in independent claim 1 to in dependent claim 10.